

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,245	02/12/2002	Rakesh Mathur	9D-HR-19761	5065
7590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
John S. Beulick			MARSH, STEVEN M	
Armstrong Tea	sdale LLP			
Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolitan Sq.			3632	
St Louis MO				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Comments	10/074,245	MATHUR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven M. Marsh	3632				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe	shruani 2006					
· <u> </u>	,—					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	,					
	. Also someliantina					
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6,9-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-18, 20, and 21</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1.3-6 and 9-11 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	alaction requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	:					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·					
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da		O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/074,245

Art Unit: 3632

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This is the eighth office action for U.S. Application 10/074,245 for a Spill Proof Shelf Assembly Method and Structure filed by Rakesh Mathur et al. on February 12, 2002. Claims 1, 3-6, 9-18, 20, and 21 are pending

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1, 3-6, and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Falk in view of Kolbe et al. Falk discloses a plurality of side supports (22) configured to support a shelf whereby each side support comprises a ledge comprising a clearance portion (see the attached sheet showing fig. 4... the parts labeled 1 and 2 form a ledge) with a horizontal aperture extending through the ledge (the is a horizontal aperture formed between 1, 2, and 3 that extends through the ledge). The horizontal aperture has an aperture width less than a ledge width (due to the thickness of the walls). There is a plurality of support members (36) configured to rigidly connect the side supports and a plurality of gussets (the lower portion of groove 44 that extends inward) extending inwardly from each of the side supports. A gusset tab (45) extends upwardly from each gusset and is configured to contact a portion of the shelf blocking forward movement, while being aligned substantially vertically below the horizontal aperture of the clearance portion. The shelf has an integral forward stop tab (51) that extends laterally outward from the shelf and the gussets and gusset tabs are integral. The clearance portion has a width that provides a clearance for the forward tab and there is a rear tab (at 49). The shelf is assembled by providing a shelf; providing at

Art Unit: 3632

least one side support; and slidably coupling the shelf to the side supports. The shelf is assembled by providing a shelf, providing at least one side support, and slidably coupling the shelf to the side supports.

Falk does not disclose a rear portion with a width greater than a width of the forward portion. Kolbe et al. discloses a system for limiting outward movement of a slide-out shelf. There is a plurality of side supports (23 and 24) with ledges (28 and the portion under it) that have a clearance portion, configured to support the shelf and there are support members (26) configured to rigidly connect the side members. The shelf is configured extends outward in a first direction and retracts inward in a second direction opposite the first direction. The ledge of the shelf appears to be wider at the rear portion the front portion (see fig. 2), flaring outward at two locations. Each ledge also has clearance portions (formed throughout the side support under the ledge 28) for supporting the shelf. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to have utilized side supports shaped like the supports taught by Kolbe et al., on the invention taught by Falk, for the purpose of providing additional stability in the rear of the support. The method steps claimed in claims 9-11 are inherently disclosed by Falk in view of Kolbe et al.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 12-18, 20, and 21 are allowed. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not disclose a shelf with a first side and forward and rear tabs extending respective first and second distances from the

Art Unit: 3632

first side, whereby the first distance is less than the second distance, and a first shelf side support with a laterally projecting ledge for sliding engagement with the shelf, whereby the ledge has a rear portion sufficient for retaining the rear tab, a forward portion with a second width less than the width of the rear portion and providing a clearance for the rear and forward tab, and whereby the clearance has a third width providing a clearance for the forward tab, wherein the side support has a plurality of support members rigidly connecting the first shelf side support to a second shelf side support and configured to contact the forward tab when the shelf is in a first extended position.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed February 14, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Falk does not describe a gusset tab aligned substantially vertically below a horizontal aperture of the clearance portion, but describes a groove extending along the side support. The groove is a "horizontal aperture" and the gusset tab is substantially vertically below it. The (aperture (or groove) has a width than that of the ledge width because the width of the ledge goes from outer wall to outer wall, while the width of the aperture is between the inner walls.

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208

Art Unit: 3632

USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.,* 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Marsh whose telephone number is (571) 272-6819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

Application/Control Number: 10/074,245

Art Unit: 3632

number is (571) 272-3600. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

5 hun

Steven M. Marsh

May 13, 2006

Page 6